

1

FUTURE OF THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS

Revised Outline of Proposed Policy Paper with Drafting Assignments

-

| Responsibility for Drafting | Ľ | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|---|--|--|--|
| State | (A. | Background | | | |
| | (| Past policy and program | | | |
| | В. | Premise of Current Review | | | |
| | | Basic policy of extending US sovereignty to the TTPI remains valid for the following reasons: | | | |
| DOD | (| Increased rather than diminished defense interests | | | |
| | | b. Denial to unfriendly power | | | |
| | (| Still not too late to devise acceptable arrangements | | | |
| State | | a. Mutually acceptable terms meeting both US and Micronesian needs can still be found. b. Both formal terms and political atmosphere can still be molded so as to provide suitable conditions for expanded mili- tary facilities | | | |
| State | (| 3. Congressional approval is still obtainable | | | |

.~;

| | _ | c. | Urgency |
|---|----|----|---|
| | ₹. | | 1. Immediate |
| State | | | a. Micronesian Future Status Commission - Final report due in July - our views requested no later than May - report will play up independence or loose association with US - need to influence their report and at least forestall any avoidable commitments by Micronesians |
| State | | | b. UN Trusteeship Council - Meets in May - has a number of petitions reflecting political discontent in strong terms - clear statement of future pro- gram needed if we wish to maintain friendly treatment from Council |
| | (| | 2. Longer term |
| State | | | Time no longer on our side - whole program (review, negotiations with Micronesians and implementation) must be on tight schedule starting with public disclosure of our intentions |
| | 8 | D. | Nature of the Problem - Definition |
| State with contributio by DOD on 1 and 2b | • | | A status which must meet: a. Legal requirements b. The essential needs of the Micronesians c. The standards of Congress d. Security needs A territorial administration which will: a. Serve to sell the proposed status to the Micronesians b. Adequately meet our military base needs |
| | (| , | c. Stimulate economic progress |

1

-2-

, liệ

-3-

| State | :(| | egal obligations - international and onstitutional |
|---|---------|---------|---|
| State with | (| - | icronesian thinking - degree of latitude |
| Interior | (| 3. C | ongressional thinking - degree of latitude |
| DOD | (| 4. S | ecurity needs - degree of latitude |
| | | F. Requ | irements for Administration - Discussion |
| State | (((| | egative impact of uninspired past administra- ion must be reversed to become a major ositive element in selling the benefits of ssociation with the US |
| | (| 2. M | ilitary base problems |
| Interior w/advice of DOD | | a b | . Handling of land acquisition beginning now . Longer-term arrangements which will meet military requirements but still be palat- able to Micronesians |
| Interior | | а | conomic p r ogress . Appropriations - including extent of Micronesian control . Domestic and foreign investment |
| State pre- liminary drat followed by sub-group consideration | | | ine of Proposed Status ram of Action |
| With except- ion of lc to be done by State, entire sec- tion to be do by Interior with contribu- tions by both DOD and State | 1- 1 | 4 1 | Re status a. Proposed manner of handling Congressional problem b. Proposed manner of dealing with Micronesians c. Proposed Diplomatic handling |

.

,

.

With exception of 1c to be done by State, entire section to be done by Interior with contributions by both DOD and State

- 2. Re administration
 - a. Personnel
 - b. Micronesianization of governing structure
 - c. Structure of future advisory role to selfgoverning territory
 - d. Increased economic assistance government and private
 - e. Coordination of efforts and information
 - (i) In Washington
 - (ii) Between Washington and the TTPI