

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

73

TTPI - 11

E
B, AS

~~EXCISE~~

~~SECRET~~

April 2, 1969

TO : Interior - Mrs. Farrington
Interior - Mr. Helm
DOD/ISA - Cmdr. Kuhn
DOD/JCS - Rear Admiral Epes
White House - Mr. Sneider

FROM : State - William Gleysteen *WJ*

SUBJECT: Revised Outline of Proposed Policy Paper on the
Future of the TTPI - With Drafting Assignments

Attached is a revised version of the outline discussed at the Subcommittee Meeting yesterday. It reflects those changes suggested at the meeting and indicates the agreed drafting assignments. Should you want any further changes, please let me know.

As mentioned at the meeting yesterday, we hope to have your drafts by the close of business April 9 so that they can be circulated by noon April 10. This will allow time for study and review prior to our next full meeting on April 11, 1969 at 2:15 p.m. in Room 6323 at the Department of State.

Attachment:
Revised outline

CC: H - Mr. White
L - Mr. Boyd
EA/ANZ - Mr. Moore
J/PM - Mr. Wolf
C - Mr. McHenry
U - Mr. Ruser

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR	
REVIEWED BY	<i>B.H. BIAS</i> DATE <i>4/10/87</i>
(CLASSIFIED) CONFIDENTIAL	
RDS <input type="checkbox"/> or XDS <input type="checkbox"/> EXT. DATE	<i>OUTR</i>
TS AUTH	<i>WJ</i> REASON(S) <i>E.O. 12356</i>
ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS	<input type="checkbox"/> SECS. 1.3(a) (5)
DECLASSIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> RELEASABLE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>1.3(b)</i>
RELEASE DENIED <input type="checkbox"/>	<i>IN PART</i>
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS	<i>B, AS</i>

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

05 424626

FUTURE OF THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS

Revised Outline of Proposed Policy Paper
with Drafting Assignments

Responsibility
for Drafting

- (A. Background
- (
- State (Past policy and program

- B. Premise of Current Review
- E* Basic policy of extending US sovereignty to the TTPI remains valid for the following reasons:

- (1. Increased rather than diminished defense
- (interests
- (
- DOD ([7 10/15
- (
- (
- (b. Denial to unfriendly power

- (2. Still not too late to devise acceptable
- (arrangements
- (
- (a. Mutually acceptable terms meeting both
- (US and Micronesian needs can still be
- (found.
- State (b. Both formal terms and political atmosphere
- (can still be molded so as to provide
- (suitable conditions for expanded mili-
- (tary facilities *recess*

- State (3. Congressional approval is still obtainable *J*

C. Urgency

1. Immediate

State

(a. Micronesian Future Status Commission -

(~~E~~ Final report due in July - our views requested no later than May - report will play up independence or loose association with US - need to influence their report and at least forestall any avoidable commitments by Micronesians

relca

(b. UN Trusteeship Council -

State

(Meets in May - has a number of petitions reflecting political discontent in strong terms - clear statement of future program needed if we wish to maintain friendly treatment from Council

2. Longer term

State

(Time no longer on our side - whole program (review, negotiations with Micronesians and implementation) must be on tight schedule starting with public disclosure of our intentions

(D. Nature of the Problem - Definition

State with contribution by DOD on 1d and 2b

(1. A status which must meet:

- (a. Legal requirements
- (b. The essential needs of the Micronesians
- (c. The standards of Congress
- (d. Security needs

(2. A territorial administration which will:

- (~~E~~ a. Serve to sell the proposed status to the Micronesians
- (b. Adequately meet our military base needs
- (c. Stimulate economic progress

relca

E. Requirements for Status - Discussion

- State (1. Legal obligations - international and constitutional
- State (2. Micronesian thinking - degree of latitude
- with (
- Interior (3. Congressional thinking - degree of latitude
- DOD (4. Security needs - degree of latitude

F. Requirements for Administration - Discussion

- State (1. Negative impact of uninspired past administration must be reversed to become a major positive element in selling the benefits of association with the US
- (2. Military base problems
- (a. Handling of land acquisition beginning now
- Interior (b. Longer-term arrangements which will meet
- w/advice (military requirements but still be palatable to Micronesians
- of DOD (
- (3. Economic progress
- (a. Appropriations - including extent of
- Interior (Micronesian control
- (b. Domestic and foreign investment

no comment

3

State preliminary draft followed by sub-group consideration

G. Outline of Proposed Status

H. Program of Action

With exception of lc to be done by State, entire section to be done by Interior with contributions by both DOD and State

- 1. Re status
 - a. Proposed manner of handling Congressional problem
 - b. Proposed manner of dealing with Micronesians
 - c. Proposed Diplomatic handling

With exception of 1c to be done by State, entire section to be done by Interior with contributions by both DOD and State

2. Re administration

- a. Personnel
- b. Micronesianization of governing structure
- c. Structure of future advisory role to self-governing territory
- d. Increased economic assistance - government and private
- e. Coordination of efforts and information
 - (i) In Washington
 - (ii) Between Washington and the TTPI

OS 424630