THE UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE WASHINGTON

345A) R 3/29 03 7

710.12

4

SECRET/EXDIS #0B 8-2-78

March 20, 1971

TO

The Secretary

THROUGH:

s/s **(**7)

FROM

The Under Secretary

SUBJECT:

Political Status Negotiations with Micronesia:

Memorandum for the President - ACTION MEMORANDUM

Last month, the Under Secretaries Committee met to consider the political status negotiations with Micronesia. As you know, these islands are important to us in connection with military basing options in the Western Pacific over the longer term.

Over the weekend, the White House announced the appointment of Mr. Haydn Williams, President of the Asia Foundation, as the President's personal representative to handle the status negotiations. Mr. Williams (who is located in San Francisco) will be in Washington this week to begin his briefings. As you recall, I discussed this matter with you some time ago.

I am enclosing for your review and signature a proposed Memorandum to the President summarizing the Department's views on this matter (Tab A). If you agree we would forward this memorandum at the time the Under Secretaries Committee report is officially transmitted to the White House (Tab B).

31 MAR 1971

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR FEB. 25 REVIEWED BY DATE RDS[or XDS[]EXT. DATE]	Di
	ECRET/ EXDI S

Disapprove ____

Approve ///

-2-

Enclosures:
As stated

Clearances:

IO - Mr. De Palma by (E), (2)

IO/UNP - Mr. Armitage
L - Mr. Aldrich
PM - Mr. Pickering (substance)
EA - Mr. Masters (substance)

(W.Q. S/PC:CWRuser:alk X22026 3/13/71

SECRET/EXDIS

81D309591 Bot 12591

THE UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE WASHINGTON

2332 NSC

NSC UNDER SECRETARIES COMMITTEE



NSC - U/SM - 86H

March 11, 1971

Cat 8 - 7:

Cat. C -

TO

The Deputy Secretary of Defense

The Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

The Deputy Attorney General
The Under Secretary of Interior

The Assistant Director, Office of Management

and Budget

SUBJECT: Neg

Negotiations on the Future Political Status

of Micronesia

Enclosed is a proposed Memorandum for the President reporting the Committee's conclusions and recommendations on the political status negotiations with Micronesia.

In line with our discussion on February 3, the Committee's recommendations have been set forth in terms of a general sequence of negotiating steps. Following informal consultations with Interior and Defense, the interagency drafting group, at my request, revised this general sequence by placing the "district-by-district" plebiscite ("Marianas Option") after, rather than before, a concession on unilateral termination ("modified commonwealth" at its limits).

I believe that this revised sequence is consistent with our objective of finding a Territory-wide solution

BREULIVALY

Declassified/Released on FIS-514C (Doc 35)

under provisions of E.O. 12356

by E. Reger, National writy Council

#HELASSIFIED



extending U.S. sovereignty over all the islands. Should the termination provision ever be invoked, the Marianas would in any event insist on remaining under U.S. sovereignty assuming pro-U.S. sentiments continue in that district under the commonwealth. On the other hand, a district-by-district "plebiscite", at this time, would quite possibly result in fragmentation of the Territory into two or more entities.

The present version of the report has been coordinated among Interior, Defense and State. We would appreciate your final comment and clearance by March 18, 1971.

John N. Irwin II
Chairman

Enclosure:

As stated

Contact Officer:

Mr. Ruser, Ext. 22026



NSC UNDER SECRETARIES COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Subject: Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands
-- Future Political Status

On February 3, 1971, the Under Secretaries Committee reviewed Micronesia's future political status and the options open to the United States for resolving this issue (Tab B).

I. Status of Discussions

The Committee agreed that negotiations and other contacts with the Congress of Micronesia have failed to produce appreciable progress beyond clarifying the respective positions.

The most recent U.S. proposal, advanced in the May 1970 discussions, envisaged that Micronesia become a U.S. commonwealth, in permanent association, under U.S. sovereignty. (The proposal explicitly protected Federal Supremacy, so as to avoid the constitutional ambiguities of Puerto Rico's status.) Our delegation



7 2 2



stated that the United States could not now foresee when a status of independence "might be appropriate to the circumstances of the Territory."

The Micronesian Delegation advanced four "nonnegotiable" principles which affirm Micronesia's
sovereignty and right to independence or unilaterally
terminable "free association with any nation." The
Congress of Micronesia subsequently endorsed these
four principles and declared the U.S. offer "unacceptable in its present form."

Micronesian leaders recognize the Territory's economic dependence on the United States. Most are convinced that some form of association with the United States, with U.S. powers circumscribed by the terms of the association, would best preserve the unity of the islands and their political and cultural identities. These same leaders, however, strongly object to retention by the United States of the power of eminent domain -- in view of the importance of

-3-

land in local culture -- and to the vague but implicitly broad powers which the United States would reserve under Federal Supremacy provisions. Many also believe that a provision for unilateral termination is essential to preserve the concept of Micronesian sovereignty and to protect basic Micronesian interests. (We have indications that some formula, carefully circumscribed in law and difficult in practice, might be acceptable.) Their proposed solution is a "free association" -- a status which would recognize Micronesian sovereignty but generally leave defense and foreign affairs responsibilities to the United States.

More recently, there are increasing indications that it may be difficult, if not impossible, to obtain a single solution for the Territory as a whole in view of the growing alienation between the Marianas, where pro-U.S. sentiments are strong, and the other five districts, which desire a looser form of association. Culminating ten years of agitation, the Marianas

-4-

District Legislature last month adopted a resolution stating the District's intention to secede from the Territory -- at an unspecified time. Thus, we may be required -- or, depending upon developments, may prefer -- to deal with the Marianas separately.

Under these circumstances, some of the following options, although they were developed as Territory-wide solutions, might become applicable to the five remaining districts. We would not expect any major difficulty in reaching agreement with the Marianas.

II. Options

The Committee agreed that we cannot hope simply to maintain the <u>status quo</u>, politically and administratively. The United States should, of course, take all possible steps to improve conditions for the status talks, such as improvements in administration and increased Micronesian responsibility for the government of the Territory. The Committee does not

SECRET/EXDIS

-5-

believe, however, that such actions alone will win Micronesian acceptance of the U.S. proposal in its present form.

The United States can approach the problem in several different ways:

- -- We could try to make the continuation of the

 Trusteeship acceptable to the Micronesians by

 giving them full self-government under it,

 subject only to U.S. security requirements,

 with the expectation that this could later

 result in a more favorable permanent solution

 than now attainable.
- -- We could continue to seek the extension of

 U.S. sovereignty over the islands, to the

 extent possible. Thus, we could modify the

 U.S. commonwealth proposal in an attempt to

 make such an arrangement acceptable to the

 Micronesians; or, alternatively, we could, by

 means of a plebiscite, give those districts

-6-

which would prefer to become part of the United States (e.g., the Marianas) the opportunity to do so, and seek to negotiate a separate arrangement with the remainder of the Territory.

-- We could abandon the objective of U.S.

sovereignty and seek to construct a looser,

but still close, relationship ("free association") that would reflect U.S. strategic

interests and largely satisfy Micronesian

desires.

These broad approaches have been developed into a number of specific options <u>summarized at Tab A and</u>

<u>described in detail at Tab B.</u>

III. Recommendations

The Committee is uncertain which of these approaches and options are negotiable. Moreover, approaches negotiable with the Micronesians might not be acceptable to senior members of the U.S. Congress. Thus, before

SECRET/EXDIS

-7-

proceeding with further negotiations with the Micronesians, we would propose to undertake appropriate Congressional consultations (Tab C).

In light of these uncertainties, our recommendations are presented in terms of a general negotiation sequence setting forth a series of steps, each of which might constitute an acceptable solution to the status question.

The departments differ on what would be the desirable <u>initial</u> step (Step A vs. Step B below). Furthermore, beyond the initial steps, the sequence is necessarily tentative and should be kept under review. We will continue to report on the progress of the negotiations and, as necessary, seek revalidation of the proposed negotiating authority in light of new developments.

Following is the general negotiation sequence for which the Committee requests your decision and approval.

-8-

Step A: Micronesian self-government under

Trusteeship (Tab B, pp. 11-15 -- recommended by

Interior as initial step; opposed by State and Defense).

The United States would, for the time being, discontinue efforts to end the Trusteeship. Instead, the United States would undertake to turn over to the Micronesians, as rapidly as possible, the full administration of the Trust Territory, including relations with foreign countries other than military involvements. A commitment would be required by the United States to continue financial support at approximately present levels (\$50-60 million), without limitation on the use of such funds. The Micronesians would be free to reorganize the present administration, draft a constitution, and establish governmental bodies of their own devising.

The United States, by maintaining the Trusteeship, would continue its rights to exclude any foreign

SECRET/EXDIS

military presence and to retain or condemn land for military purposes.

Step B: Modified Commonwealth (Tab B, pp. 19-20
-- concessions limited to eminent domain and Federal
Supremacy: recommended by State and Defense as <u>initial</u>
step; by Interior as <u>second</u> step).

With the aim of extending U.S. sovereignty over the Territory as a whole, we would, within predetermined limits, continue to seek a solution along the lines of a commonwealth relationship modified only where essential to obtain Micronesian agreement. We would not accept a provision for unilateral termination. The U.S. negotiating <u>limits</u> would be:

a. Eminent domain: Forego the exercise, as
distinguished from the inherent power, of
U.S. eminent domain, provided that long-term
use of needed land in the Marianas is assured
by prenegotiated arrangements (option, lease
or purchase) and that present facilities in

-10-

the Marshalls are retained. We would make every effort to obtain basing options in Palau for future contingencies; we would forego such options only as a last concession in exploring this Step and only if it were reasonably clear that a settlement of the status question was obtainable within the modified commonwealth framework.

b. Federal Supremacy: Limit the exercise of
Federal Supremacy where such is practicable,
legal and not in derogation of overall U.S.
national interests. We might agree that the
United States would exercise Federal powers
only in the fields of foreign relations and
defense, except as agreed by the Micronesians
or required by a national emergency.

Step C: Modified Commonwealth (Tab B - pp. 19-20 Step B with addition of concession on unilateral termination).

-11-

If the concessions on eminent domain and Federal Supremacy (Step B) fail to produce agreement, we would offer the Micronesians a provision for unilateral termination, carefully circumscribed, possibly through a complex procedure and after a specified period of years. (For example, Micronesia might be given the right to terminate the commonwealth relationship, after a specified period, upon approval by a two-thirds majority of the Micronesian Congress and the Micronesian electorate.) Individual districts voting against the termination, e.g., the Marianas, would have the right to remain with the United States.

A termination provision would be offered only if two conditions were met: (a) it were clear that all other provisions of the modified commonwealth proposal (Step B) were acceptable to the Micronesians and that the addition of the unilateral termination clause provided a basis for final agreement; and (b) there were explicit agreement that the prenegotiated strategic

arrangements (i.e., denial and basing rights) would legally survive a possible termination.

Step D: Multiple Solutions (District-by-district plebiscite option, Tab B, pp. 21-22, or variations thereof, as described below).

As a result of the most recent developments in the Marianas, we may be unable to find a single solution which will satisfy all districts -- i.e., a modified commonwealth (Steps B or C) may be unworkable as a Territory-wide solution.

Should this occur we would agree to offer the Marianas -- and any other district that found the option attractive -- the choice of joining the United States. We should remain flexible as to the form of such an association, e.g., union with Guam or a separate territory/commonwealth, depending on which districts chose to join with the United States.

SECRET/EXDIS

-13-

As regards the remainder of the Territory*, we would initially attempt to find a single separate solution, preferably by continuing the exploration of Steps B and C. Should this prove unsuccessful, we would consider further whether we should: a) propose a "free association" type arrangement or a status of full independence to these remaining districts as a group; or b) try to deal with each district separately.

At some point, we may find it useful to suggest a plebiscite that would offer each district a clear, hard choice between commonwealth status and full independence, with those districts choosing independence hopefully joining into a single entity (Tab B, pp. 21-22). Such a suggestion may have utility as a

^{*} The Trusteeship agreement can legally be terminated only for the Territory as a whole. Thus, the United States cannot terminate the Trusteeship only for parts of the Territory or arrange for termination at different times.



-14-

negotiating tactic at an early stage. In view, however, of the separatist sentiments in the Marianas and the mutual antagonism between the leaders of that district and those of the rest of the Territory, there is a distinct possibility that such a suggestion would be accepted, thus prematurely committing the United States to this approach.

Step E: "Free Association" (Tab B, pp. 23-25)

Under this step, we would explore a relationship of "free association." While abandoning the goal of U.S. sovereignty, to satisfy a key element of the Micronesian position, we would attempt to negotiate a compact terminable only by mutual consent. We would insist on exclusive control over foreign relations and defense. Moreover, in most other respects, we would seek to establish a pattern of close relationships similar to that existing under a modified commonwealth to build up vested Micronesian interests in the association (e.g., participation in Federal



domestic programs, access to the U.S. judicial system, rights of U.S. nationality, etc.). Finally, we would also insist upon prenegotiated arrangements, which would be designed legally to survive the association, to provide for strategic denial of the area and the same degree of access to land for military needs as under the modified commonwealth (Steps B or C).

Discussion:

The positions of the departments and agencies differ with regard to the merits of Step A:

Should the United States offer full selfgovernment within an overall framework that would maintain the Trusteeship?

Interior believes that we should now proceed with this approach, thereby making time work in our favor.

This approach will give the Micronesians a better appreciation of the problems of self-government, thus enabling us to strike a better bargain at some future date.





-16-

State and Defense agree that increased selfgovernment is advisable but believe that this approach -- aside from serious practical problems,
including acceptability in the Marianas -- would at
best deflect the Micronesians only temporarily from
the status issue. Having established a fully selfgoverning and functioning Micronesian state, and
allowing it to forge unrestricted political and economic links with other nations, we would, as a practical
matter, find it very difficult to ignore a petition
for the lifting of the Trusteeship a few years hence
under terms desired by the Micronesians.

In summary, the Committee requests your decision on the general negotiation sequence:

(a)	Beginning with Step A (favored by Interior)	
(b)	Beginning with Step B (favored by State and Defense)	

Disapprove

-17-

(The approved sequence will also serve as the basis of early consultations with the U.S. Congress.)

* * *

In addition to the Committee's regular members, the Departments of Justice and of the Interior (which chairs the Interagency Committee on Micronesian status) and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) have participated in this review.

John N. Irwin II Chairman

UNCLASSIFIED

-18-

Enclosures:

Tab A - Summary of Options

Tab B - Report of Interagency Committee

Tab C - Proposed Congressional Consultations

Declassified/Released on <u>F&S-SI4C(Doc 358)</u>
under provisions of E.O. 12356
by F. Reger, National Fairity Council



SUMMARY OF OPTIONS (as considered by the Committee)

Approach:

Make maintenance of Trusteeship acceptable to Micronesians.

-- Option: Continuation of the Trusteeship; Micronesian self-government subject to U.S. security requirements.

The Micronesians would fully manage their own affairs, including relations with foreign countries other than military involvements; the United States, by maintaining the Trusteeship, would continue its rights to exclude any foreign military presence and to retain or condemn land for military purposes (Tab B, pp. 11-15).

Approach:

Maintain objective of U.S. sovereignty.

-- Option: Modification of the U.S. commonwealth proposal.

We would, within predetermined limits, be prepared to modify the U.S. proposal in an attempt to gain Micronesian acceptance. This might entail concessions in one or more of the three critical areas -- eminent domain, Federal Supremacy, and termination (Tab B, pp. 19-20).

Declarated/Released on F85-514C (Doc 35C)

under provisions of E.O. 12356 by P. Reger, National activity Council



UNCLASSIFIED

-2-

-- Option: District-by-district plebiscite

To obtain a permanent association with the United States of at least the Marianas, probably Yap, and possibly other districts, we would offer each of the six districts a choice between accession to the United States and independence (Tab B, pp. 21-22).

Approach:

Seek close relationship short of U.S. sovereignty.

-- Option: "Free Association" (i.e., Micronesian "sovereignty" recognized by compact, with the United States assigned exclusive control over foreign relations and defense.

While granting Micronesian "sovereignty," this arrangement could result in a relationship approaching that of a modified commonwealth at its limits. Strategic denial and basing rights would be secured both by the compact and specific prenegotiated arrangements. In return, while granting Micronesia full internal autonomy, we could offer a variety of benefits to tie Micronesia more closely to the United States, such as participation in federal domestic programs, access to the U.S. judicial system, the rights of U.S. nationality etc. (Tab B, pp. 23-25).

UNCLASSIFIED

-3-

Approach:

Approaches not currently considered feasible <u>long-term</u> solutions.

-- Option: Continuation of the Trusteeship, attempt to create conditions conducive to acceptance of the recent U.S. commonwealth proposal.

We would keep the commonwealth proposal open and hope to build <u>future</u> Micronesian support for it through administrative improvements and increased Micronesian responsibility for governing the Territory (Tab B, pp. 16-18).

-- Option: Micronesian sovereignty, (i.e., independence with prearranged treaty ties (Tab B, pp. 26-27).



HALLASSIFIED

Proposed Congressional Consultations

The Executive Branch is committed to consult with the House Interior Committee before proceeding with the next steps on the Micronesian political status problem. In addition, owing to the farreaching nature of some of these steps, consultations with the Congressional leadership and other key committees will probably be required.

It is proposed that these consultations be undertaken jointly by the three departments under Department of the Interior leadership, subject to direction by the Under Secretaries Committee. White House assistance may be required to gain the concurrence of key Congressional figures in these proposals and their limits. (We foresee a problem in protecting our negotiating position against unauthorized disclosure; except for a few senior

Declassified/Released on F85-514c (Dec 350)

under provisions of E.O. 12356

by P. Recer, National Council



UNGLASSIFIED

-2-

members of Congress whose support will be essential, consultations will be conducted in more general terms designed to seek reactions to a range of alternatives.)

The U.S. Congress can be expected to have difficulties with these proposals for several reasons:

- -- The variety of views within the Congress on the status issue: for example, some are concerned that the islands may eventually slip out of the U.S. orbit; others are disturbed by the international and domestic repercussions of limiting Micronesia's choices for self-determination; still others may oppose in principle the continuation of these overseas obligations;
- -- Congressional concerns as to the nature of the precedents created by a loose Commonwealth relationship, particularly the

UNGASSIFED

-3-

implications for Puerto Rico and other
U.S. territories of such an arrangement;

-- The long-term financial costs to the United

States associated with the permanent assumption of responsibility for foreign peoples,

who have few historic ties to the United

States and will be unable to support themselves over the foreseeable future.

