## U.S. Colonialism...

Most of us Americans will agree, quite readily, to the fact that we are poor colonialists—and always have been.

We think that is primarily because our nation was formed by, lighting the Grand-daddy of all Colonialist powers, England, for our ndependence. About that same time, except for a few excursions nto colonialism, the United States has always believed that menverywhere should be free. We pursued that goal in Cuba, back in he Spanish-American War, and allowed Cuba its independence. We igreed about the same time that the Philippines could also have its ndependence, at a time that such thinking was not of the norm.

In other examples of colonialism, such as Hawaii, Alaska, and uerto Rico our government decided instead to allow them to join he union in one form or another. Even in Guam, and the Virgin slands, the trend has been good to this point, first granting itizenship to the islanders, and then slowly allowing them to elect heir own leader, and to control their own budget and economy. Autonomy seems to be the key word in our colonialistic patterns. Only in the Trust Territory have we felt that we generally have lone a bad job over the years. Mainly because of a lack of interest in he islands, we felt that we haven't done enough in public works, in oads, hospitals, schools, sewer and water, airports, and all the rest. While a great movement forward is now taking place, we still look ack on our 25 years of administration as one of, largely, ineptness.

Yet, reading a letter from Rep. John A. Mangefel, Yapese member of the Congress of Micronesia, which appeared in Wednesday's Daily Vews, we wonder. After reading the letter we felt just a little proud hat we were Americans, and maybe, perhaps, our record in dicronesia really isn't all that bad.

Rep. Mangefel was replying to a man who had written earlier, xpressing his puzzlement over why the Micronesians had not uestioned the Japanese when they fortified the islands before Vorld War II, and wondered why they were objecting to U.S. bases ow. Mangefel told of the history of Micronesia, of the Spanish days, indether insistence on subjecting the islanders to their religious elliefs. "They decided it was good for us and that's all there was to the German's came, Mangefel said, "and they were no different tom" the Spaniards. In fact, they claimed that the islands were heirs, since they bought them from the Spaniard's. type is a started to think for us and lanned our ways. We were not a subject at all."

Then Mangefel noted that the League of Nations "took upon hemselves the job of thinking, planning and forming the course of ficronesia's destiny....and gave the mandate of the Micronesian slands to Japan," again without consulting the local population. The Japanese," he said, "were no different from their predecessors. hey controlled, geared, formed and shaped the islands' future. It as developed to suit what the Japanese wanted. It was taken for anted that Micronesians did not know, or perhaps were incapable knowing, what they wanted...the Japanese trained the icronesians to think, act and behave as they wished them to." angefel, in writing about where the Micronesian voices were during ie Japanese fortification, said that the Micronesians did "not know at such voices or rights did exist at all."

Then came the Americans. Listen to what Mr. Mangefel says aboutiat: "But the American Administration did a very peculiar thing. hat is to say that the Americans launched a very sincere and nuine education program at this time. Americans taught us the lea of democracy. Micronesians were told that, not only were they lowed to speak their minds but that they actually had the RIGHT form or give an opinion."

Now as we listen to Micronesian radicals expound against the merican administration, we can at least gather some comfort in the ct that we, as has no nation before it, taught the Micronesians that is was the way free men lived. We expect them to stand up and say hat they think. Not only do we tolerate, but we encourage it.

Frankly, as Americans, we should feel only pride when-ourministration is criticized by the Micronesians, because we not only k freedom, but we live it, and encourage others to live it. How about other nations in the Pacific? How have they fared? Well, the British have abandoned many of its former colonies, such as Fiji, Tonga. The French haven't done as well, and French Polynesia still screams for more local autonomy. We pointed out the other day that New Hebrides, an island chain with as many people as Guam, isn't even allowed the courtesy of a local legislature. The British and French High Commissioners rule by executive fiat. The British also make no pretense about Hongkong either. It is a colony, pure and simple. The four million people don't have any local vote, no say in the operation of the colony.

No, by and large, the United States has shown consideration and respect for the local people. We know that we have encouraged the Migronesians into pushing for an independence status, and now let us hope that we let them exercise a vote for that goal. After all, freedom is an American dream, and we can do no less for these inder our administration than to actively endorse such a dream for them.