John Darran ee & Mary Irent

Guan Economic Conference May 18, 1973 Guam Hilton Hotel

.

PUERTO RICO: POLITICAL STATUS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Lappy price Machmark

03 - 031982

+ Puerto **Rimt** Rico's political status is a unique political relationship, within the United states **REMARK** federal system, that has emerged from Puerto Rico's economic realities. In essence, it is a status <u>fitted respectifically</u> designed to fit our realities. Puerto Rico could have chosen to try to fit our realities to a political status -- such as independence or statehood. But we decided to create a new status, taylor-made to our needs -- and that is the secret to our status, and to our success.

First, let me try to describe our realities. The history of Puerto Rico is the history of four and one half centuries of poverty. Under the Spanish grawhan Crown, we subsisted on the generousity of the other, gold rich colonies. On their way back from Mexico and Peru, the Spanish galeons would off load a fraction at of its goal is in San Juan to px sustain, in part, the economy. On July, 25, 1898, when the American troops landed in Guanica, they found poverty as these New England soldiers and officers never dreamed existed. Fourty two years of American colonial government in Puerto Rico did not help much. Efforts were made to help. The first military government carried out a road, school, hospital construction program. During the 20's large scale investments were made by U.S. businessmen to develope max a sugar industry, and to a lesser extent, a tqbacco industry. In the 30's the Roosevelt administration attempted to extend the New Deal to Puerto Rico. But it all failed. It kept the vast mayority of the Puerto Rican population from starving, but it did little else.

Why? The answer is very simple. Puerto Rico seemed doomed, by nature, to poverty. A small island (100 miles long and 35 wide), tremedoulsy overpopulated and without natural resources. And ironically, one of the good things that the americans did soon after arriving, the eradication of xms malaria and other diseases, caused a population explosion. One million puerto Ricans in 1900; two million by 1940; today there are just over %xm 2.8 millon -- that is, 2.8 millon living on the Island, plus 1.5 millon living on the mainland. Of course, these 1.5 millon are free to reisers return to Puerto Rico at any time, and when our economists see m an increase in return migration, as we have seen in recent years, you can believe that it causes a im tremor of aprehension.

So in 1940, our per capita income was 121 dollars, and <u>Even-this was</u> deceptive since Puerto Rico was divided into two classes, the great mass of the people living in extreme poverty, and a very small class living in great wealth. We had had some political progress. Our Legislature was elected by the people; in 1917, Puerto Ricans had become U.S. citizens. But Puerto Rico was a classic colony. The Governor was appointed) the Presidente, and more often than not, his selections were quite unfortunate. Our Legislature was controlled by the very rich. In fact, Roosevelt's humane efforts to extend the New Deal to Puerto Rico was vigorously opposed by the local Legislature.

But what most characterized Puerto Rico, and the well-intentioned Roosevelt administrators, was a kapexafz sense of helplessness. Puerto Rico was not only the "poorhouse of the Caribbean" -- but it seemed inevitably destined to remain so. Our people-land ratio, our distance from the U.S. mainland, indeed a #200 4 century old culture of pater poverty, seemed to make developmentm not only unlikely, but impossible.

Puerto Rico did not and know it then, but what made develpement appear impossible was the attitude of the Puerto Rican political leadership. Folitical status had dominated local politicos. The Folitical status - independence of statehood -were considered the only "ideals" worthy of political action. All Gyer Puerto Rico's ills were explained and denounced in terms of our colonial relationship to the United States. It was the religiously held dogma of our politics that nothing could be done -- indeed nothing should be done -- to resolved our crying social and economic

031983

2

injustices until we first resolved our political status. This dogma literally incapazitat incapacitated our political leadership of the first century to do anything but maiex endlessly decanythings but engage in a status debate that sounded very much like minexemix teological debate of the Dark Ages.

Res

where

skinned chiftren

post

He pot delled

242

0

Well, the turing point in our history ocurred in 1940. A young political leader, Luis Munoz Marin, organized a new political party and took a revolutionary stand - political status, he said, was not the issue, but the misery of the Gavored-Puerto Rican "jibaro" of flesh and bone, Munoz was for Puerto Rican independence; chie , wa so was '90 parcentzopercent of the leadership of his new party. But he made a solemn commitment that a watr vote for him was not a vote for independence, but a vo for economic and social reform. He won the elections with 38 percent of the winning contrace of the Legislature, rated votes in 1940, and in 1944 won again with 65 percent of the vote.

Munoz literally turned things around in Puerto Rico. After 1940, bills land and tax reform taxas were approved, and signed into law by the liberal, Gppoink f New Deal braintruster named Governor of & Puerto Rico, Rexford Tugwell. Tugwell made a great contribution created an **mfi** efficient, honest, creation government buraucracy -- something indeed retexix rare in Latin American cultures. But the true revolution was in the values, in the xixexxi sense of priorities, now existing in Puerto Rico. Social reform and economic development now had priority over political status.

In 1948, Munoz became the first elected Governor. and zwexturmed zkizz attentionzion There was a world-wide wave of anticolonial sentiment. Therewas It was time for Puerto Rico and the U.S. to lift the island from its colonial status. Munoz had already decided that independence was economically imposible for Puerto Rico. leadership ; This had cause a good part of his partys to form an Independence Party, but it got about less than 10 percent of the vote in 1948. The vast majority of the people had and once langer again expressed a clear rejection of independence. A minority of Puerto Ricans wanted statehood but that too was economically imposible. Operation Bootstrap was then getting under way. Puerto Rico knew that tax exemption wag the biggest incentive for a U.S. industrialist to establish a new plant 1,500 miles out in the St Atlantic in a Spanish speaking culture. Statehood would have meant giving up tax exemption. giving 031384

up Operation Boostrap.

-4

The answer was Commonwealth. And Commowealth was really the status quo. Commonwealth would permit Puerto Rico to retain its U.S. citizenship, its free access to the U.S. market, its common defense, a currency, and its exemption from all federal taxes. It would **mits** also help Puerto Rico keep its culture. The Puerto Ricans are a people with a **mary** distinct cultural heritage four centuries in the making. E Today, anyone from the mainland visiting Puerto Rico has a very strong feeling that he is in a foreligh country. Culturally, he is.

But Commonwealth represented one fundamental change. For the first time in our history, Puerto Rico had approved directly its political status. The core of Commonwealth **sat** status is the "compact" between the people of Puerto Rico and the Government of the United States. The "compact" spells out the details of the relationship **he** betwee n both parties. The essencial element of the "compact," however, is that it cannot be amended without the consent of both sides — the people of Puerto Rico and the Congress of the United States. This was the change. Puerto Rico was no longer a territory, a possession, of the U.S. Puerto Rico now belonged to itself. And exercising its self determination, it entered **inte** freely and democratically into a relation of permanent union with the U.S.

The "compact" process was as follows:

In 1950, the Government of Fuerto Rico proposed to Congress a bill, now known as Law 600, in which Congress recognized Puerto Rico's right to self determination, its right to draw up its own Constitution, and its desire to enter into a compact with Puerto Rico. The unique thing about ix Law 600 is that it did not become effective made untilit aproved at the poils by the Puerto Rican people. This is what maxmad it a compact. Puerto Rico approved it in 1951; a Constitutional Convention x was elected; a Constitution approved; Congress approved the Constitution with several minor changes; Puerto Rico approved the changes. On i July 25, 1952, Commonwealth was after officially proclaimed.

It is precisely at this point that Puerto Rists Rico's economic development began to take off. Up to 1950, Bootstrap had brought to the Island a total of 82

031985

. .

.5 - *

industrial plants. By 1960, 688 plants were operating on the island; today it is about 2,000. Industrial mm employment was 55,000 in 1950; today it is 150,000. Gross income was #755 million in 1950; today it is \$6 billion. Per capita income was \$279 in 1950; today \$1,713.

What, then, is the **imprime** lesson of Puerto Rico's experience with political status and economic development?

The obvious lesson is that political status is not an "ideal," it is not a "national purpose." It is a tool. The purpose of a people is not to be a Republic, of the 51st state of the American Union, or the first Commonwealth. The purpose of a people is to achieve a good civilization; a civilization where each human being is $+c_0 + t_{1,2} \dots = m_{\Delta \times (M) \times (M)}$ free to develope, correct to develope, this individual capabilities. Political status should be the servant, not the master, of that purpose. I think the key to understanding why the people of Puerto Rico created and have supported Commonwealth through the years is that Puerto Ricans in love their land and their culture very much, but are not nationalistic. We are the only latin american people that are not now x politically independent. We are also the latin american people with the highest standard of living, the **Minkvaizkest** highest rate of growth, the most stable democratic government, and I xxx believe with the **Mixbest** highest individual freedom.

For Puerto Ricc, seemingly doomed to massive poverty and unemployment, political status had to be the best tool possible to **THERE** reduce poverty and unemployment. We did not make economic growth a sacred cow, but we understed that to seek social justice without very rapid development was a cruel deception.

Puerto Rico today is very far from achieving tix its goal of a good civilization. Statistically, unemployment is 11 percent; real unemployment is closer to 30 percent. We have massive slums, our educational and health facilities are trager tragically inadequate. We are also paying the price for rapid development: crime, drugs, polution, traffic jams, power shortages, middle class desperation not-soquiet desperation.

But even the most optimistic economist could not believe that we w could eliminated four centuries of poverty in three decades. And it will not be done in 031986 the next three decades.

Ê

But I think that we are finally x on the right road. We are extremely **EXAMPLE** conscious of the need to improve the quality of our development. Puerto Rico also wants to improve certain areas of Commonwealth status giving our Government more autonomy in such areas as federal minimum wages. Perhaps a mayority of the people favor giving our voters the right to vote for the Presidentm and Vice President.

But we are on the right track, I believe, because we overcome our biggest emotional, spiritual obstacle. Puerto Rico **x** was really torn between having to choose between two forms of political dignity that were equally detrimental to freeing people of the indignity of extreme poverty and unemployment. The endless battle between independentistas and statehooders was not only **starilar**, **starilized** Puerto Rican creativeness. Certainly segments **sr** of our population continue the debate. But not the **may** majority; and in **int** 1972, after having a pro statehood party in power for only four years, the people reelected **x** the pro commonwealth party that had governed **since** for 20 years.

The lesson of Commonwealth to Guam and any other area seeking a political status solution is this:

Begin by understanding with presign precision what is your reality. Determine what is your national goal. And then select the political status that best serves that gr goal. This has worked very well in Puerto Rico. And I believe that it will in Guam.

-30-

031987