<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text>

agreement comes to an end, the U.S. could no longer claim its right to a "strategic zone" in the territory.

"Whatever solution is finally adopted," the mission says in a 134-page report, "it is important that the basic issues, including the question of which lands, if any, will be retained by the United States as military retention lands, should be settled before the trusteeship agreement comes to an end." When this happens, it adds, "The idea of a strategic zone in the sense used in the (U.N.) charter vanishes at the same time."

In its political conclusions, the mission objects to taking the Marianas separatism as final.

"We certainly cannot deny that the great majority of the Mariana islanders are in favor of separation," it says. "But we wonder whether it is necessary as yet to assume that a form of agreement on the future status for Micronesia as a whole cannot be negotiated which will in the end prove acceptable to the Marianas. . .

"The movement in favor of separation has gone a long way. It is a pity that the administering authority did not do anything significant to check it long ago.

The mission therefore recommends ending separate talks between the Marianas and the United States for the present. To continue them, it says, "would destroy the prospect of achieving any compromise solutions."

But U.S. officials at Saipan yesterday were of the opinion that the Marianas separatist issue is by now a foregone conclusion, and pointed out it was already discussed in detail at a hearing in the U.N. Trusteeship Council last year.

The mission also points to "centrifugal tendencies" in other districts of Micronesia and urges the United States and the Congress of Micronesia to "give the most serious attentions to the question of the unity of the territory."