



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
WASHINGTON D C 20301

03
502

PROGRAM ANALYSIS
AND EVALUATION
(Regional Programs)

TB: [Signature]
AUG 26 1974
*Specie to
see about
this
Ment A.*

MEMORANDUM FOR: (See Distribution)

SUBJECT: Joint OSD/JCS Study of DoD Base Requirements on Tinian

In the Air Force Program Decision Memorandum dated 29 July 1974, the Secretary of Defense directed a joint OSD/JCS review of mission and facility requirements on Tinian to determine (1) the minimum essential set for DoD purposes and (2) those activities which might best fulfill our commitment to the people of the Marianas. To initiate the review, I propose that each addressee appoint a representative to a Steering Committee and be prepared to provide one or more members to a Working Group.

Attached are a draft study outline and a tentative schedule. The outline notes the approximate length of each section of a 50 page paper and suggests the organizations which might be responsible for initial drafts.

Although the PDM requires only that the review be completed before submission of the FY77-81 POMs, I believe we should aim for completion before the first of the year. This would then enable us to get a Secretary of Defense decision on our recommendation before witnesses begin to testify on our FY76 budget request for Tinian funds. The attached schedule was drawn up to meet that goal.

If this proposal meets with your approval, by 30 August, will you please have your Steering Committee representative contact Mr. Frank Tapparo (Ext.7-0373), who will represent PA&E and chair the Steering Committee. The initial Steering Committee meeting will be at 1400 hours, September 4, in Room 2C-310.

Enclosures

[Signature]
F. P. SHAW
Deputy Assistant Secretary

DISTRIBUTION:

Mr. Fliakas, OASD(I&L)
Mr. Abramowitz, OASD(ISA) *←*
Mr. Brill, OASD(M&RA)
LTC Elder, OJCS(J-5)

cc: ASD(PA&E)
DASDs(PA&E)

16-400365

For alternative sets of functions, describe and cost the common user facilities and the unique facilities of each function. Give associated military and civilian manning, and possible dependent population. Estimate the number of civilian positions that might be filled by local labor. Estimate the extent to which local labor and business might participate in construction.^{a/}

V. Evaluation of Alternatives (8-9 pages; PA&E)

Summarize military benefits from Section III and describe extent to which each alternative supports our political commitment.

VI. Recommendations (All)

^{a/} The sets of functions should be reviewed by the study group before the detailed evaluations are made.