

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND CENTER
MESSAGE CENTER

10/01/74

10
/

3524

VZCZCIEC1978CF026
RUEKJST+

S E C R E T

ACTION J5(02)
DISTR CJCS(04) DJS(03) SJCS(03) J3(04) J3(08) J6(04) DCDDIV(01)
SECDEF(04) ASD:IL(02) ASD:M&RA(01) ASD:LA(01) ASD:ISA(10) ASD:PA&E
ASD:PA(01) DORE(01) DIA: :DIA:(05)
DCA WASH DC
FILE(1)

(056)

TRANSIT/010316Z/010328Z/000:12GRP0618
DE RUEXAAA #1803 2740328

ZNY SSSSS

R 010316Z OCT 74

FM JCS WASH DC

TO RUEADWD/CSA WASH DC

RUEA AAA/CNO WASH DC

RUEFHQA/CSAF WASH DC

RUECFIA/CMC WASH DC

RUHGHCA/CINCPAC HONOLULU HI

RUWTEKA/CINCSAC OFFUTT AFB NB

YNFC ZEN/SFCDEF WASH DC

RUEGIAA/NSACSS FORT GEORGE G MEADE MD

RUHHRGA/CINCUSARPAC FORT SHAFTER HI

RHHMBRA/CINCPACFLT MAKALAPA HI

RUHVA AA/CINCPACAF HICKAM AFB HI

RUHNSAA/CINCPACREP GUAM TPTI

RUHGHCA/CGPMFPAC CAMP H IN SMITH HI

RUNKBOD/DCA PAC KUKIA HI

BT

S E C R E T 3524

JCS SEND

INFO OSD/JCS REVIEW OF TINIAN BASE REQUIREMENTS (U)

REF: JCS 1804/131312Z SEP 74

1. (GROUP) A JOINT OSD/JCS REVIEW OF MISSION AND FACILITY REQUIREMENTS ON TINIAN IS BEING CONDUCTED. REF REQUESTED THE ADDRESSEES PROVIDE THE NEAR TERM REQUIREMENTS WITH THE RATIONALE AND ANALYSIS FOR EACH. AS STATED IN PARAGRAPH 6 OF REF IT IS ALSO CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL THAT A THOROUGH REVIEW OF LONG RANGE REQUIREMENTS FOR TINIAN BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE.

2. (U) THE SERVICES ARE REQUESTED TO COORDINATE THEIR LONG RANGE PACOM REQUIREMENTS FOR TINIAN THROUGH THEIR COMPONENTS TO CINCPAC AND FORWARD ANY SERVICE REGULAR REQUIREMENTS FOR TINIAN DIRECTLY TO THE JCS. REQUEST CINCPAC AND CINCSAC CONDUCT

PAGE 1

S E C R E T

0011000

16 400392

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND CENTER
MESSAGE CENTER

S E C R E T

3524

A COORDINATED REVIEW OF LONG RANGE, BEYOND 1982, REQUIREMENTS FOR TINIAN AND FORWARD THE REVIEW INCLUDING ANALYSIS/RATIONALE TO J-5, JCS. SUSPENSE FOR ALL ACTION AGENCIES IS 31 OCTOBER 1974.

3. (S) THE FOLLOWING ASSUMPTIONS SHOULD BE THE BASIS FOR THE REVIEW.

A. US WILL CONTINUE TO HAVE STRONG INTERESTS IN THE PACIFIC WHICH MUST BE SUPPORTED CREDIBLY BY US MILITARY PRESENCE.

B. MAJOR INCREASES IN BASING OF US FORCES ON FOREIGN SOIL ARE NOT POLITICALLY FEASIBLE.

C. THE CURRENT RELATIVE COMPOSITION OF US FORCES (LAND, SEA, AIR) IN THE WESTERN PACIFIC WILL REMAIN ABOUT THE SAME.

D. THE US WILL HAVE FULL AND FLEXIBLE BASING RIGHTS ON TINIAN.

E. THE US WILL HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE DEFENSE OF MICRONESIA.

4. (S) GUAM SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AS A POTENTIAL BASING SITE FOR ADDITIONAL FORCES. HOWEVER, CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO INCREASING URBAN ENCROACHMENT, ECONOMIC PRESSURES, AND OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY CONSTRAIN MAJOR EXPANSION OF DOD ACTIVITIES ON GUAM.

5. (S) REGREST CINCPAC REVIEW INCLUDE AN APPRAISAL OF THE LIKELIHOOD THE US WILL BE DENIED BASING RIGHTS IN EITHER KOREA, JAPAN (TO INCLUDE OKINAWA), TAIWAN, OR THE PHILIPPINES. RELATING TO THE LONG RANGE REQUIREMENTS, ADDRESS THE IMPACT ON TINIAN BASING REQUIREMENTS IN THE EVENT OF LOSS OF BASING RIGHTS IN EACH OF THE ABOVE COUNTRIES IN TERMS OF:

A. QUANTIFYING THE DEGRADATION OF CAPABILITIES (LOGISTICS STORAGE, COMMUNICATIONS, TRAINING, BASING FOR COMBAT UNITS, ETC.) CAUSED BY BASE DENIAL IN EACH COUNTRY.

B. IDENTIFICATION OF THOSE MILITARY ACTIVITIES WHICH MUST BE WHICH OF THOSE COULD BE RELOCATED TO GUAM/TINIAN WITHOUT UNDUE DEGRADATION OF MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT.

C. IDENTIFICATION OF THOSE MILITARY ACTIVITIES WHICH, IF RELOCATED, WOULD NECESSITATE A REASSESSMENT OF US NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR ASIA.

D. THE COMPATIBILITY OF PROJECTED LONG RANGE REQUIREMENTS WITH PRESENT FACILITY PLANNING FOR NEAR TERM REQUIREMENTS ON TINIAN. IN THIS REGARD ASSESS THE FLEXIBILITIES AND OPTIONS THAT THE NEAR TERM TINIAN BASE DEVELOPMENT COULD AFFORD FOR MEETING LONG RANGE REQUIREMENTS.

GDS 82

BT

#1803

ANNCTES

PAGE 2

S E C R E T

00111000

400393