vient of state LIMITED OFFICIAL USE HANDLING MESSAGE REFERENCE NO. A-16 12.13 ណ្ដូរ ស្រុក Department of State TO: ÚSUN, COMIWELVE, CINCPACREPGUAM/TTPI, CINCPAC INFO: ¥. ť, 1 FROM: Status LNO SAIPAN DATE: July 7, 1975 11652: N/A TAGS: UNTC TO Public Statements by UNTC Members Observing Marianas Plebiscite SUBJECT: REF: Status LNO 171C DEPT. DISTRIBUTION PASS INTERIOR FOR DOTA AND OMSN ORIGIN/ACTION COMTWELVE FOR AMBASSADOR WILLIAMS CINCPAC FOR POLAD cυ AF ARA ΕA The United Nations Trusteeship Council Visiting Mission to EUR ËВ INR 10 observe the Northern Marianas Plebiscite departed Saipan a fortnight ago, and other performers are now spotlighted NEA PER РМ ٤. on this small stage. However, a couple of statements made publicly by "the Mission," or its Chairman, President (of REP SCI 55 5 Y the UNTC) James Murray of the UK, while the Mission was here seem worth reporting for the records. There was, of course, considerable controversy about the AGR AID AIR ARMY explanation on the ballot of what "yes" and "no" would mean. The UN Mission's public statement on "The Wording of the CIA COM DOD DOT Ballot", released June 14, seemed equivocal and anticlimactic, perhaps because it is unclear whether the inclusion FRB HEW IN T LAB of the final sentence is supposed to imply general approval or disapproval of the ballot. The text was as follows: NSA NSC OPIC NAVY The United Nations Visiting Mission is aware that 5 TR TAR TRSY USIA the language of the "No" ballot has been a matter of controversy during the plebiscite campaign. хмв The mission wishes to emphasize that the language of the "No" ballot was worked out by the Administering Authority and that the Trusteeship Council was not SUGGESTED DISTRIBUTION OMSN/127LOU U IN LIMITED SOFFICIAL USE D CLASSIFICATION DRAFTING DATE PHONE NO. CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY: DRAFTED BY: Status LNO: AEBergesen lav 7/7/75 9727 Status LNO: Alf E. Bergesen CLEARANCES:

63-411891

UNCLASSIFIED LIMITED OFFICIAL USE Saipan A-16

2

411892

consulted about the form of wording. The Trusteeship Council did, however, record in its report in the year 1973/74 (S/11415) that it considered that, if the people of the Marianas were consulted separately from the people of the rest of Micronesia, the consultation should not exclude any alternative.

The other statement which the reporting officer found noteworthy was Murray's reply to a question by MPSC member Joe Cruz whether the Northern Marianas would have to wait for Commonwealth beyond 1981 if the trusteeship is not ended because the other districts "are not ready." According to the Pacific Daily News for June 15, "Murray replied that the Council looks at the Trust Territory (TT) 'as a whole,' but that if by 1981 the Northern Marianas show that they achieved self-determination with an adequate Commonwealth government, the Council would concern itself with that 'new element.'"

Murray, who noted in remarks to an assembly of voters on Tinian on June 14 that "you are all very politically mature people" (PDN June 16), and the members of his Mission found themselves under considerable pressure to take sides on issues outside the Mission's terms of reference, such as the content of the Covenant and the date of the plebiscite. Despite some wavering by Bertrand deLataillade, the French member, the Mission did avoid taking a stand on such issues, but both pro and anti-Covenant spokesmen pushed hard enough that "Murray said the Mission 'would be disappointed if .... Saipan persons considered its trip useless.'" (PDN June 15.) Right down to the wire, however, local leaders on both sides continued to push for UNTC support for their own point of view.

On June 20 just prior to his departure and, of course, after the plebiscite was completed, Mr. Murray addressed the people of Saipan via TV; his theme was that "Whatever the final political arrangements for the Marianas, it is only sensible that the closest links be maintained with the rest of Micronesia." As to the Mission's reaction to the plebiscite, Murray said its report would be published "without unreasonable delay.... It would be wrong to discuss our views publicly before we have communicated them to the Trusteeship Council and the administering power." As to the Mission's objectivity, "Some of you on both sides will no doubt find something in it with which you disagree. But please remember that the other man has a point of view, too. We very much hope that your conclusion will be that we did indeed do our best to be objective." (PDN June 22.)

> LIMITED OFFICIAL USE UNCLASSIFIED

BERGESEN