. 11	INCLASSIFIE	n	ş .		56
			D760447-1	164	之后, [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]
UNCLASSIFIED					
PAGE 01 USUN N	05853 030	1332			
43 ACTION IO-13					5
INFO OCT-01 EUR-12	150-00 IN	T-05 CIAE-(0 DODE-0	0 PM-0	4
H-02 INR-07 L-03 NS	AE-00 NSC	-05 PA-02	PR5-01 5	P-02	
SS-15 USIA-15 AF-08	ARA-10 E 099103		DHA-02	/126 W	•
R 022339Z DEC 76 FM USMISSION USUN NY	099103				
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 130 INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON	55 Г		······································		
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW AMEMBASSY PARIS			NT OF STATE	MAR.	5 1987
HICOMTERPACIS UNN		REVIEWED BY		DATE	
UNCLAS USUN 5853		RDS[]or XDS[]E TS AUTH. ENDORSE EXIST	REASON (÷
DEPT PASS OMSH AND DOT		DECLASSIFIED] RELEASABLE		
HICOM PASS TO STATUS L	.NO	PA or FOI EXE			
E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: PFOR, UNGA, UR, SUBJ: US RIGHT OF REPL TRUST TERRITORY OF THE SOVIET REPLY	Y TO THE S				
1. THERE FOLLOWS THE UNION ON THE TT MADE UNION ON THE TT MADE UDECEMBER 2, 1976.	JS RIGHT OF BY US DELOF	REPLY TO T F SOBER IN	HE SOVIET PLENARY ON	4	
2. TEXT OF STATEMENT			•	i	
UUOTE: BEGIN TEXT.					
PRESS RELEASE USUN-17 DECEMBER 2, 1976	4(76)				
STATEMENT BY SIDNEY SUUNCLASSIFIED	OBER UNITED	STATES REP	RESENTATI	EIN	篇 书 民 1 年 -

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 : USUN N 05853 030133Z

PLENARY, IN RIGHT OF REPLY TO THE SOVIET REPRESENTATIVE, ON THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS, DECEMBER 2, 1976.

IN TUESDAY'S SESSION THE SOVIET REPRESENTATIVE REFERRED TO THE TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS IN A STATEMENT AS INACCURATE AS IT WAS OFFENSIVE. AS AN ORIGINAL MEMBER OF THE UNITED NATIONS, THE SOVIET UNION SHOULD BE AS WELL INFORMED AS ANY ON THE ESTABLISHMENT, UNDER THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER, OF MICRONESIA AS A STRATEGIC TRUST TERRITORY -- THE ONLY ONE OF ITS KIND. ARTICLE 5 OF THE TRUSTEESHIP AGREEMENT, WHICH THE SOVIET UNION JOINED IN APPROVING, SPECIFICALLY ENTITLES THE STATIONING OF TROOPS IN MICRONESIA TO ENSURE THAT THE STRATEGIC TRUST TERRITORY PLAY ITS PART IN THE MAINTENANCE OF INTERNATIONA PEACE AND SECURITY UNDER THECHARTER.

MY DELEGATION HAS NO INTENTION OF REPLYING IN KIND TO THE BASELESS RHETORIC OF THE SOVIET STATEMENT. WE TEND TO BELIEVE THE STATEMENT REFLECTED SOVIET EMBARRASSMENT OVER THE RECENT DEBATE IN THE THIRD COMMITTEE ABOUT HUMAN RIGHTS. AS WE OBSERVED AT THAT TIME, THE SOVIET UNION HAS EVERY REASON TO BE EMBARRASSED ABOUT ITS RECORD ON HUMAN RIGHTS.

THE UNITED STATES' RECORD ON SELF-DETERMINATION FOR THE TERRITORIES UNDER ITS JURISDICTION IS CLEAR FOR ALL TO SEE. ONE TERRITORY OVER WHICH THE UNITED STATES EXERCISED JURISDICTION IN THIS CENTRUY HAS EVOLVED TO INDEPENDENCE. OTHERS HAVE COME TO STATEHOOD IN OUR UNION. ANOTHER ENJOYS COMMONWEALTH STATUS AND OTHERS ALSO ELECT THEIR GOVERNORS AND LEGISLATURES IN FREE AND REGULAR ELECTIONS IN ACCORD WITH THEIR PREFERENCE. THE UNITED STATES CAME TO ITS OWN INDEPENDENCE FROM COLONAIL STATUS. THE UNITED STATES IS NOT A COLONIAL NATION, AND IT DOES NOT INTEND TO BECOME ONE.

BUT WHAT OF THE SOVIET UNION'S RECORD IN THIS REGARD? PERHAPS A LOOK AND A QUESTION OR TWO WOULD BE INSTRUCTIVE. NO POWER IN HISTORY HAS SHOWN A MORE RAVENOUS APPETITE FOR FOREIGN TERRITORY THAN THE SOVIET UNION. ASK ANYONE OF NUMEROUS COUNTRIES, FROM THE FAR NORTH OF EUROPE TO THE FAR EAST OF ASIA.

FOR INSTANCE, THE UNITED STATES HAS WITHDRAWN FROM VARIOU PACIFIC ISLANDS WHICH IT OCCUPIED AS RESULT OF WORLD WAR II UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 USUN N 05853 030133Z

OPERATIONS. CAN THE SOVIET UNION SAY THE SAME? IN THE EUROPEAN AREA, AS MERELY ONE EXAMPLE, LET IT NOT BE FORGOTTEN THAT IN 1940 THE SOVIET UNION TOOK OVER BY MILITARY FORCE THE BALTIC STATES, ITS INDEPENDENT NEIGHBORS LATVIA, ESTONIA AND LITHUANIA. THE SOVIET UNION STILL HOLDS THOSE FORMERLY FREE NATIONS IN ITS GROP AND DENIES THEM BASIC HUMAN RIGHTS. LACK OF RELIGIOUS FREEDOM IS ONLY ONE THAT MIGHT BE EMPHASIZED. MY DELEGATION WOULD LIKE TO ASK A SIMPLE QUESTION: DOES THE SOVIET UNION INTEND TO HOLD THESE FORMERLY INDEPENDENT COUNTRIES FOROVER MORE?

OR WILL THE LONG FINGER OF HISTORY, WHICH PREIDES OVER HUMAN AFFAIRS WITH CONSIDERABLE IMPARTIALITY, CALL THE SOVIET UNION TO ACCOUNT ONE OF THESE DAYS?

END TEXT. UNQUOTE.

3. FOLLOWING THE US STATEMENT, SOYIET DEPUTY PERM REP KHARLAMOV REPLIED STATING THAT IGNORANCE CANNOT BE CONSIDERED AS PROOF OF ANYTHING, EVEN WHEN EXPRESSED BY THE US. HE CONTINUED THAT THE STATE OF RELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTRIES DID NOT WARRANT SUCH POLEMICS. KHARLAMOV SAID THAT THE US REPRESENTATIVE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE FACTS OF BALTIC HISTORY AND SUGGESTED HE READ SOME BASIC TEXTS ON IT. ADDING THAT THE MATTER PERTAINED ONLY TO THE SOVIET UNION, HE DECLARED HE WOULD NOT ANSWER US QUESTIONS.

4. TURNING TO US DELOFF'S STATEMENTS ON THE TT, KHARLAMOV STATED THAT A REVIEW OF THE PERTINENT ARTICLES OF THE CHARTER WILL REVEAL THAT IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN UNDERSTOOD THAT THE TERRITORY MUST EVENTUALLY GAIN ITS INDEPENDENCE. KHARLAMOV ALSO REGRETTED THE DECISION OF THE TRUSTEESHIP COUNCIL NOT TO REPORT TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ON THE TT AND THE "INAPPROPRIATE" REMOVAL OF THE TT FROM THE GA'S AGENDA.

5. KHARLAMOV AUSO REPLIED TO A BRIEF UK REPLY TO SOVIETS, IN UK GENERAL DECOLONIZATION STATEMENT, BY REFERRING TO SECTIONS DEALING WITH TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY OF THE TT IN THE DOMMITTEE OF 24 REPORT. (UK MISSOFF HAD SUGGESTED THAT SOVIETS READ THE COMMITTEE OF 24 UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED

05-

وا كرونيو:

421155

PAGE 04

USUN N 05853 030133Z

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT ON THE TT AND DEFENDED THE IMPARTIAL NATURE OF THE MARIANAS PLEBISCITE WHICH HE DESCRIBED AS A "FRIC ACT OF POPULAR CONSULTATION," WITNESSED BY UK CHARGE MURRAY. ; SCRANTON

UNCLASSIFIED -

UNCLASSIFIED

.....

:

75- 421156