Recd 7/8/85 BP NO. 109-85 PH NO. 5

July 08, 1985

Delegate Paul A. Manglona Chairman, Committee on Local Government Second Northern Marianas Constitutional Convention Saipan, CM 96950

## Dear Mr. Chairman:

As a commissioner for the Village of San Roque, District of the Northern Mariana Islands in 1970, I tend to favor Delegate Proposal No. 275-85. 109-85 The commissioner system during the Trust Territory Government was an effective method of representation. As a commissioner, I had constant personal contact with the village people, I was very access-In time of performing certain community projects for the village, the village people are readily available to participate. This type of community involvement, undoubtedly, gives birth to community awareness, social tranquility within the community, and a feeling of unity in the community's identity. Thus, I support any attempt to revitalize this type of representation.

The intend of Delegate Proposal No. 275-85 (hereinafter "Proposal"), however is not a true revitalization of the type of commissionership I described The Proposal attempts to provide for precinct commissioners (there were eleven commissioners during the T.T. Government) for each existing election precincts in place of one mayor for the island of Saipan (third senatorial district). My first concern of the Proposal is that unless it provides for a commissioner for the Village of San Roque (election pricinct 4B) and the village of Tanapag (election precinct 4A) having another commissioner, then I reserved my support. I firmly believe

Delegate Paul A. Manglona July 08, 1985 Page Two

that the positive attributes described above can be revitalized for the Village of San Roque only if the eleventh commissioner is provided.

Another concern that I have on the Proposal is the different forms of local government within the Commonwealth. There seems to be certain inconsistency of having a mayorship type of local government in certain senatorial districts while having a commissionership type of local government in another. As a citizen, I find this bothersome.

Finally, not only that I am concern of the financial feasibility but also to the substance of the Proposal. For the several thousands of people of Saipan, are we really in need of this many and different types of representation?

It may be that I am exhibiting a contradictory position with this Proposal but this is not how I intend to do. While on one hand I am in support of this Proposal and on the other hand I am criticzing its content, my purpose is that maybe this Proposal can be reworded to the extent that it accommodates those concerns I have indicated above.

I thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Fermin M. Sakisat