## DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Op-90/sah Ser 191P90

## MEMORANDUM

From: Assistant Chief of Naval Operations (General Planning)

To: Distribution List

Subj: Briefing Book for Tour of new Secretary of Defense

Ref: (a) CNO Memorandum 704-60 of 3 December 1960

Encl: (1) Sample Point Paper

- 1. Reference (a) requested submission, as soon as possible, of data for use by the CNO in briefing the new Secretary of Defense, probably during a tour of United States military installations. This memorandum designated Op-90 to coordinate the preparation of a briefing book containing this data. Enclosure (1) is a sample of briefing material in point paper form.
- 2. In view of the imminence of appointment of a new Secretary of Defense this project must be undertaken on an urgent basis. The following action is requested
- a. Preparation, in point paper form, (see enclosure (1)) of briefing papers on:
  - (1) Naval forces throughout the world
  - (2) Shortages of forces, equipment, and material

(3) Naval activities, worldwide

- (4) Problems, issues and general topics of interest
- b. Forwarding of the point papers to Op-90C direct, Room 4D682, by messenger as they become available.
- 3. Target date for completion of the briefing book is 9 December, but corrections and additional position papers will be welcomed after that date and prior to the briefing of the new Secretary of Defense. Original and two copies are requested.
- 4. Papers on many of the subjects which should be covered are already in existence, and many of these are currently in the proper point paper form. The CNO's Backup Books contain much of this material. Where papers are available, duplication by any process producing legible, black and white copies on reasonably durable duplicating paper is encouraged. To save space, use of backs of sheets is requested.

Distribution List

 Op-O1
 (6)
 Op-O7
 (10)

 Op-O3
 (12)
 Op-92
 (6)

 Op-O4
 (12)
 Op-93
 (4)

 Op-O5
 (8)
 Op-94
 (6)

 Op-O6
 (6)
 Op-O9B
 (12)

E P HOLMES

ACNO (GENERAL PLANNING)/DIRECTOR
GENERAL PLANNING GROUP

## NAVY VIEW ON FUNCTIONALIZED BUDGETING

0p-90C 30 Sept 1960

PROPCEAL: Present the Navy's views on the value of a

functionalised budget

## POINTS:

- 1. Naval forces can participate in variety of combat functions, at same time or in succession, siene or in company with forces of all marvices.
- 3. Task force principle served by having ships capable of many functions since flexibility is heart of task-force concept. Multiple capabilities of ships permit quick on-the-spot forming of task forces to carry out tasks at hand with manimum economy and officiency.
- 3. Task forces tailored on basis of having ships and planes of multiple capabilities. Can carry out missions and services in hostile environment consisting of many elements. Examples:
- a. Aircraft carriers can be assigned to atribe missions, plose air support, ASV, defense of convoys.
- b. "Carrier-based sircraft serve as interceptors, attack planes, ground support, reconnaissance, corly warning, ECM, air aontrol.
- Submarines (except FRM) are used for ASW, destruction of shipping, raiding, reconnaissance, claudestine operations.
- 4. Balanced fleet concept implies capability to operate on and firm sea in wide range of hostile environment -- from limited WET TO ALL-OUT WAY
- 5. Assignment of forces, especially naval, to specific functions solely to allow identification of funds by function is impossible. Without multiple capabilities, naval forces lose characteristic flexibility, would be unable to adapt to changing situations of WAY At non.
- 6. No good way to categorise naval ships and aircraft by functions. Many ships and aircraft, especially combat types, have multiple capabilities and are equipped to discharge many functions. Example: A destroyer type ship fitted for only ASW would be Instructive in environment of hostile air or if needed for gunfire support of amphibious troops.
- 7. To assign ships and planes to single functions is uneconomical:
  - a. Would not utilize full range of capabilities.
- b. Each function would require own number of ships and sircraft with consequent increase in overall numbers.

Enclosure (1) to Op-90 Memo Ser 191P90 of

- 8. To build only single-purpose ships and aircraft is dangerous since units could be confronted by enemy weapons systems with which they were not prepared to cope. Example: A ship conducting support fire for an amphibious landing has to protect itself against subsarines, or other ships must be provided to furnish this protection.
- 9. Functionalization of naval forces would require more planes and ships. (Knaple: Destroyer has many capabilities Ask, Ask, gunfire support, bombardment, air control, early warning, surface engagement and may be assigned to various types of task forces. If each function were reposed in single-purpose ships, the number of ships required would be multiplied. Present destroyer can perform all these functions simultaneously.)
- 10. Some forces are presently organized for only one function.
  Examples: (a) SAC; (b) COMAD; (c) FRM force. This does not
  establish a principle, however, and does not have universal
  application. It does not apply to forces which must remain
  effective over long periods in hostile environment, where diversity
  of offense and defense is required. Limited war situations are
- 11. Balance of U. S. forces are not so organized. Navy ships and aircraft can light against many types of weapons.
- 12. Functionalization would ultimately lead to:
  - a. Stratification and loss of adaptability.
- b. A decrease in the sum total of readiness for a given force level.
  - c. Fragmenting of total combat potential.