THE WHITE HOUSE

NSC

2122

WASHINGTON

SECRET

ACTION

July 8, 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE PRESIDENT

FROM:

HENRY A. KISSINGER

SUBJECT:

Micronesian Status Negotiations

At Tab B is the report of Ambassador F. Haydn Williams, your Personal Representative for Micronesian Status Negotiations, covering his April round of negotiations with representatives of the five districts of Micronesia. As you recall, he is conducting separate negotiations with representatives of the sixth district, the Marianas.

Ambassador Williams also recommends further amendments to his negotiating instructions.

As you know, Williams earlier had achieved agreement giving the U.S. control of foreign affairs and defense and the Micronesians control over internal government. He reports that he has now reached agreement on the two remaining issues -- unilateral termination of the Compact of Free Association and U.S. financial assistance. However, he was able to get agreement on these two issues only by going beyond his current instructions in minor respects on an ad referendum basis, and he therefore is asking your approval of these undertakings.

--Unilateral Termination. The Micronesians objected to our proposal that the Compact contain pre-negotiated arrangements providing for denial (of Micronesia to third countries for military purposes) and U.S. military basing rights that would survive any termination of the Free Association relationship by 50 years. Instead, Williams subsequently achieved an agreement under which the Compact would be terminated only by mutual consent during the first 15 years after the Compact goes into force. Thereafter, the Compact would be terminated unilaterally by either side, but notification to terminate would be followed by a two-year waiting period during which both parties would have to reach agreement on a mutual security agreement covering our continued use of military bases and facilities in the islands. The concerned departments and agencies (Tabs C and D) agree with Williams' recommendations, and I have no objection.

-- <u>U.S. Financial Assistance.</u> Williams also achieved agreement on levels of U.S. financial assistance:

For the first 15 years after the Compact goes into force, the annual level would start at \$55 million and decrease to \$42 million (which is below the \$60 million level you authorized).

SECRET - GDS 9/13/99

. As regards the period following the first 15 years, the Micronesians, refusing our proposal that this be left to later negotiations, finally agreed that, if agreement on follow-on levels were not readily achieved in later negotiations, U.S. financial assistance would continue on a scale that would decrease at the same rate as during the first 15 years.

. As regards the transition period before the Compact goes into force, the Micronesians agreed to a Capital Improvements Program (CIP) ranging from \$20 million in FY 75 to \$35 million in FY 78 and back down to \$15 million in FY 80.

Williams recommends that he be authorized to proceed with the above tentative agreements. The concerned departments and agencies agree, with the exception of OMB, which does not want Williams to commit us firmly to levels of CIP during the transition period. Rather, OMB would have the concerned agencies review these figures annually in the course of budget preparation, and reallocate some of the CIP funds to current government operating expenses in Micronesia if they believed necessary. Ambassador Williams objects strongly. He contends that priority must go to leaving Micronesia with an adequate infrastructure by the time we end the trusteeship; he also has obtained Micronesian agreement to gradually declining U.S. support for government operating expenses in Micronesia. I agree with Williams, and also support his recommendations above on other aspects of U.S. financial assistance.

Issue of the Plebescite and Constitutional Convention

Williams also reached agreement with Micronesian representatives on a tentative schedule for bringing the Compact into force over a six-year period. The departments agree with this schedule, except that they recommend that a major effort be made to hold the plebescite before, rather than after, the Micronesian Constitutional Convention. They believe that the results of the plebescite would constrain the possible proclivity of some Micronesian leaders to try to re-open certain provisions of the Compact in the course of drafting a Constitution.

Williams disagrees. He contends that Micronesian leaders strongly want to place both the draft constitution and Compact before the populace in the plebescite, and that there will not be sufficient time to hold a plebescite before the Constitutional Convention, scheduled for next April. I support Williams. I would also note that we have adequate leverage in terms of our contemplated financial assistance to contain possible Micronesian back-tracking on the draft Compact.

At Tab A is a draft instruction, in your name, from me to Ambassador Williams embodying my recommendations above.

Recommendation

That you authorize me to sign the draft instruction to Ambassador Williams at Tab A. Approve Disapprove

SECRET

Old Executive Office Building Room 361 Washington, D.C. 20506

April 12, 1974

-CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr. President:

Your approval of the recommendations contained in my letter of January 25, 1974 and the subsequent amendment of my instructions has enabled Mr. Wilson and me to proceed on schedule with the Micronesian future political status negotiations. A week of private informal talks has just been concluded with the Chairman and Co-Chairman of the Congress of Micronesia's Joint Committee on Future Status (JCFS), and I am pleased to report that we have now reached tentative agreement with them on a complete draft of a Compact of Free Association.

The agreed draft compact is now being referred to the concerned U.S. departments and agencies for comment, and the Co-Chairmen of the Micronesian delegation will be presenting the draft to the full JCFS next week for its approval. Assuming their agreement and your approval, the next steps will include: (1) negotiations for military land usage as set out in the agreement; (2) a final formal round of negotiations to approve the draft officially; (3) the signing of the Compact; (4) referral of the Compact to the Congress of Micronesia; and (5) a status plebiscite. Thereafter final actions will include the approval of the Compact by the Congress of the United States, the formal termination of the Trusteeship Agreement between the United States and the United Nations and, as the last step, a Presidential proclamation announcing the effective date of the Compact.

It is our hope, and the Micronesians have agreed, that every effort should be made to conclude the status negotiations, to draft a Micronesian constitution and to hold a status plebiscite and a constitutional referendum by the spring of 1976. We have further agreed tentatively that after this two year period a final four year period of transition leading to full self-government and a new political status would be desirable. If this schedule is finally adopted and followed the Trusteeship would come to a formal end in July, 1980.

I believe the terms of the agreed draft Compact satisfy my instructions and meet our basic negotiating objectives. Titles II and III give the United States full responsibility for and authority over both foreign affairs and defense. Annex B meets the Defense

DECLASSIFIED

B.O. 12958, Sec. 3.5

NSC Memo, 11/24/98, State Dept, Guidelines

By 12/2, NARA, Date 9//3/99

-COHFIDENTIAL

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

Department's maximum land requirements as set forth in my instructions and subsequent letters from the Secretary of Defense. The Compact can be terminated only by mutual consent during the first fifteen years after its effective date. Thereafter it may be terminated unilaterally with a two year waiting period during which time both parties would be committed by the Compact to negotiate a mutual security agreement which would embody the military rights and uses in lands covered by then existing leases and the rights of denial as set forth in the Compact. This agreement guaranteeing the survival of U.S. base and denial rights would have to be concluded before termination could become effective, thus in effect making termination possible only by mutual consent even after the first fifteen years.

My agreement on the section of the Compact covering termination and the surival of U.S. defense interests was conditional upon my receiving subsequent approval of the U.S. Government since the new formula exceeded the letter but not the spirit of my instructions. These instructions authorized me to agree to unilateral termination provided that pre-negotiated arrangements were built into the Compact which would guarantee the survival of U.S. base and denial rights for fifty years beyond the date of the termination of the Compact.

If the full JCFS approves the new draft termination formula, I believe U.S. defense interests and the survival of such interests would be amply protected even in the very real unlikelihood of termination of the Compact some time after 1997. This is so because the question of the duration and extent of the U.S. defense rights would have to be met to our satisfaction in the new mutual security agreement before the Compact could be terminated. I recommend approval of the new formula.

The Micronesian Co-Chairmen accepted without counter proposals a new U.S. fifteen year plan for financial assistance in the post-trusteeship period starting at \$55 million annually for the first five years and decreasing to \$42 million annually during the last five years. Their response was most surprising in view of their earlier high dollar demands and their threats of what would happen if their levels were not met. The amounts which we offered, excluding the payments for military land which have yet to be negotiated, were below the new maximum of \$60 million per annum for fifteen years that you authorized last month. The U.S. proposal covers support for government operations, Capital Improvement Programs, development loans and the provision of certain U.S. federal services for five districts on a gradually descending scale and in constant dollars. The average annual payment for the first fifteen years in the above categories is \$48.7 million.

The Micronesians were concerned about the level of assistance following the first fifteen years of free association and were not satisfied with our proposal that such amounts be left to later

negotiations. They asked that the assistance be kept at the fifteenth year level until a new "mutually acceptable" agreement was reached. We objected to this proposal on the grounds that it would empower them to freeze U.S. financial support indefinitely at the fifteenth year level and suggested as a compromise that U.S. financial assistance continue pending a new financial agreement but at reduced rates following the same descending scale that was established for the first fifteen years of the Compact.

I made it clear that this counter-proposal went beyond my instructions and that it was therefore tentative in nature and subject to change. It was agreed to with that understanding. I feel that the compromise is fully consistent with the statement of our primary objective of building a lasting relationship with Micronesia set forth in my instructions, and I recommend that it be approved.

Our success in getting the Co-Chairmen of the JCFS to agree to our financial proposals and to the new termination provision was made possible in large measure, we believe, by their enthusiastic response to our presentation of a new U.S. six year plan for transition covering the period beginning with FY 1975 and ending with FY 1980. This plan was based in part on Secretary Morton's message to the Micronesian people of January 1974 in which he outlined in broad terms his views on the need for an accelerated program to complete the basic physical infrastructure in Micronesia before the end of the Trusteeship.

Our transition plan worked out earlier with the Department of the Interior calls for an increase in funds for CIP during the cext four years as suggested in Secretary Morton's statement and tren tapers off during the last two years of the Trusteeship. Freezeed figures range from \$20 million in FY 1975 to a high of million in FY 1978 and drop to \$15 million in FY 1980 for six freezest. This proposal for an accelerated CIP program during teriod of transition is fully consistent with my instructions.

It was also agreed that a determined effort would be made to the line and then to begin a reduction in the cost of government operations in Micronesia before the end of the Trusteeship. The past five years the number of personnel employed by the over-all personnel costs by 220%, average salaries by 113% and to be gradually reversed and that the process should begin the transition period. Proposed levels for Government corrections subsidies went from their present high of \$55 million for year in FY 1975 and 76 to a low of \$45 million in FY 1980 for

CONFIDENTIAL

It is clear that the Micronesians have linked the transition plan with the terms and the levels of U.S. assistance proposed in the draft compact, and they will certainly make the implementation of the proposed six year transition plan a condition for their final acceptance of the new draft compact. I therefore believe that this plan should be approved and recommend that I be authorized to commit the United States to providing these amounts in constant dollars contingent during the last four years upon Micronesian approval of the Compact of Free Association.

In summary the Co-Chairmen of the JCFS have now agreed to a complete draft Compact and a tentative timetable for the termination of the Trusteeship. Rather than taking their usual contentious and hard line they were very conciliatory and conceded on one point after another. I am inclined to believe that the main reason for the abrupt "turn around" in their attitude stemmed from a recognition on their part that an early and congenial settlement of the future political status question with the United States was the only thing that would counter and check growing Micronesial political disunity.

The question now is whether the two Co-Chairmen can win the approval of the full JCFS for the draft compact. They seemed confident on this score. We are not so sanguine since the JCFS in the past has broken ranks and repudiated its leadership and also its own tentative agreements with respect to future political status issues. The meeting next week in Saipan is therefore of the greatest importance. If their committee were to reject the draft compact it would be a most serious setback for the negotiations and would raise serious questions including whether the U.S. should consider various alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of Micronesia. On the other hand if the JCFS approves the agreements in principle reached last week I then see the likelihood of an early and successful conclusion of the Micronesian status negotiations.

I can also report that since my letter of January 25th a series of private talks with the leadership of the Marianas has been successful in laying the groundwork for the next formal round of negotiations with the Marianas Political Status Commission, which is now scheduled to begin on May 15, 1974.

Very respectfully yours,

Franklin Haydn Williams

DEPARTMENT OF STATE WASHINGTON

May 24, 1974

NSC UNDER SECRETARIES COMMITTEE

SECRET NSC-U/DM-98D

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

Subject: Micronesian Future Political Status

On April 12, 1974 Ambassador F. Haydn Williams, your Personal Representative for Micronesian Status Negotiations, reported to you on his recent informal talks at Carmel, California with the Co-Chairmen of the Congress of Micronesia's Joint Committee on Future Status. As he indicates in his report, Ambassador Williams has reached ad referendum agreement with the Co-Chairmen on a Compact of Free Association, and on the transitional arrangements leading to ultimate termination of the trusteeship agreement and implementation of a free association relationship.

Ambassador Williams points out that several elements of these agreements either exceed the letter (but not the spirit) of your previous negotiating instructions to him or are not fully covered by the same instructions.

1. Your instructions state that any agreement to a unilateral termination provision in the Compact must be conditioned on inclusion within the Compact of provisions for survivability of US basing and other defense requirements for at least fifty years beyond the date of any termination of the Compact. The Micronesians were

SECRET HP2
GDS 9//3/99



SECRET

-2-

unable to accept this provision, but proposed a provision which requires that any termination of the Compact take place only after successful negotiation of a mutual security agreement covering US defense requirements in Micronesia following termination. The effect of this provision is to require mutual consent to termination of a free association relationship between Micronesia and the US. The Ambassador recommends your approval of this proposal.

- 2. Your present instructions do not authorize specific levels of US assistance to Micronesia following the first fifteen years of the relationship, but leave such assistance to future negotiations. The Micronesians were unable to accept this. A proposed compromise recommended by the Ambassador for your approval is that -- pending any agreement on new levels of assistance -- US financial assistance would continue after the first fifteen years, but at reduced rates following the same descending scale established for the first fifteen years of the Compact relationship.
- 3. The tentative agreements also provide for a six-year transition period during which there would be an accelerated Capital Improvements Program ranging from \$20 million in FY 1975 up to \$35 million in FY 1978, and down to a low of \$15 million in FY 1980 for six districts. During this same period grants for operations in Micronesia would drop from \$55 million in FY 1975 to \$45 million in FY 1980 for six districts. The Ambassador's most recent instructions on this subject, approved by you on March 29, 1974, provide:

"You may commit the US Government to provide a terminal five-year Capital Improvements Program of no less than \$15 million per annum for the five districts of Micronesia, the program to be developed by the Department of



SECRET

the Interior, to begin in FY-75, and to be subject to the approval of the US Congress."

These three proposals are important elements of the ad referendum agreements reached with the Micronesian Co-Chairmen at Carmel.

The Departments of State, Defense, Justice, and of the Interior, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the Office of Micronesian Status Negotiations have reviewed the above elements of the agreements tentatively arrived at by Ambassador Williams, and endorse Ambassador Williams' recommendations.

The Departments of State, Defense, Justice, and of the Interior, and the Joint Chiefs of Staff also believe that implementation of these recommendations, if they are approved, should take into account the following important consideration and recommendation.

A Micronesian Constitutional Convention is scheduled to convene in 1975. In order to assure that the Convention will operate within an agreed political status framework approved by the people of Micronesia, a major effort should be made to arrange for a plebiscite on the Compact of Free Association prior to, rather than following, that Convention. These agencies, in offering this recommendation, are aware that it may prove impractical to adjust the timing of the plebiscite as desired. In this connection, the Office of Micronesian Status Negotiations notes that, if the Constitutional Convention is held on schedule in April, 1975, there would be insufficient time to complete the Compact negotiations, allow for Congress of Micronesian consideration of the Compact, and to conduct an appropriate political education program prior to a plebiscite preceding the convention.

The Department of Defense and the Joint Chiefs of Staff additionally comment that, considering the history of the negotiations and the political realities in Micronesia, the



-SECRET

The second of the second of

-4-

tentative agreement on a Compact of Free Association reached by Ambassador Williams and the Co-Chairmen of the Micronesian Joint Committee is a remarkable achievement. Nevertheless, a number of difficult obstacles remain to be overcome, such as negotiation of defense land requirements, and of a jurisdictional agreement similar to a status of forces agreement.

It is likely that Ambassador Williams will meet again with the Co-Chairmen of the Micronesian Joint Committee in mid-June. We therefore hope that you will consider and approve new instructions for the Ambassador at an early date. The Ambassador has now made considerable progress toward resolution of Micronesia's future political status in a manner calculated to serve US interests. His ability to conclude these negotiations satisfactorily in the near future will be affected by the decisions stemming from his April 12 report.

Kenneth Rush





OFFICE FOR MICRONESIAN STATUS NEGOTIATIONS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

April 12, 1974

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHAIRMAN, UNDER SECRETARIES COMMITTEE

From:

U.S. Deputy Representative for Micronesian Status Negotiations

Subject: Report to the President on Micronesian Status Discussions

There is attached for the consideration of the Under Secretaries Committee a letter of today's date to the President from the President's Personal Representative for Micronesian Status Negotiations reporting progress in the latest round of informal talks in Carmel.

J.M. Wilson,

The Secretary of the Interior

The Deputy Secretary of Defense

The Deputy Attorney General

The Special Assistant to the President for

National Security Affairs

The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff

DECLASSIFIED

NLF Date: 9/13/99



THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

Old Executive Office Building Room 361 Washington, D.C. 20506

April 12, 1974

-CONFIDENTIAL

Dear Mr. President:

Your approval of the recommendations contained in my letter of January 25, 1974 and the subsequent amendment of my instructions has enabled Mr. Wilson and me to proceed on schedule with the Micronesian future political status negotiations. A week of private informal talks has just been concluded with the Chairman and Co-Chairman of the Congress of Micronesia's Joint Committee on Future Status (JCFS), and I am pleased to report that we have now reached tentative agreement with them on a complete draft of a Compact of Free Association.

The agreed draft compact is now being referred to the concerned U.S. departments and agencies for comment, and the Co-Chairmen of the Micronesian delegation will be presenting the draft to the full JCFS next week for its approval. Assuming their agreement and your approval, the next steps will include: (1) negotiations for military land usage as set out in the agreement; (2) a final formal round of negotiations to approve the draft officially; (3) the signing of the Compact; (4) referral of the Compact to the Congress of Micronesia; and (5) a status plebiscite. Thereafter final actions will include the approval of the Compact by the Congress of the United States, the formal termination of the Trusteeship Agreement between the United States and the United Nations and, as the last step, a Presidential proclamation announcing the effective date of the Compact.

It is our hope, and the Micronesians have agreed, that every effort should be made to conclude the status negotiations, to draft a Micronesian constitution and to hold a status plebiscite and a constitutional referendum by the spring of 1976. We have further agreed tentatively that after this two year period a final four year period of transition leading to full self-government and a new political status would be desirable. If this schedule is finally adopted and followed the Trusteeship would come to a formal end in July, 1980.

I believe the terms of the agreed draft Compact satisfy my instructions and meet our basic negotiating objectives. Titles II and III give the United States full responsibility for and authority over both foreign affairs and defense. Annex B meets the Defense

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 12958, Sec. 3.5

NSC Memo, 11/24/98, State Dept. Guidelines

By NARA. Date

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Department's maximum land requirements as set forth in my instructions and subsequent letters from the Secretary of Defense. Compact can be terminated only by mutual consent during the first fifteen years after its effective date. Thereafter it may be terminated unilaterally with a two year waiting period during which time both parties would be committed by the Compact to negotiate a mutual security agreement which would embody the military rights and uses in lands covered by then existing leases and the rights of denial as set forth in the Compact. This agreement guaranteeing the survival of U.S. base and denial rights would have to be concluded before termination could become effective, thus in effect making termination possible only by mutual consent even after the first fifteen years.

My agreement on the section of the Compact covering termination and the surival of U.S. defense interests was conditional upon my receiving subsequent approval of the U.S. Government since the new formula exceeded the letter but not the spirit of my instructions. These instructions authorized me to agree to unilateral termination provided that pre-negotiated arrangements were built into the Compact which would guarantee the survival of U.S. base and denial rights for fifty years beyond the date of the termination of the Compact.

If the full JCFS approves the new draft termination formula, I believe U.S. defense interests and the survival of such interests would be amply protected even in the very real unlikelihood of termination of the Compact some time after 1997. This is so because the question of the duration and extent of the U.S. defense rights would have to be met to our satisfaction in the new mutual security agreement before the Compact could be terminated. I recommend approval of the new formula.

The Micronesian Co-Chairmen accepted without counter proposals a new U.S. fifteen year plan for financial assistance in the posttrusteeship period starting at \$55 million annually for the first five years and decreasing to \$42 million annually during the last five years. Their response was most surprising in view of their earlier high dollar demands and their threats of what would happen if their levels were not met. The amounts which we offered, excluding the payments for military land which have yet to be negotiated, were below the new maximum of \$60 million per annum for fifteen years that you authorized last month. The U.S. proposal covers support for government operations, Capital Improvement Programs, development loans and the provision of certain U.S. federal services for five districts on a gradually descending scale and in constant dollars. The average annual payment for the first fifteen years in the above categories is \$48.7 million.

The Micronesians were concerned about the level of assistance following the first fifteen years of free association and were not satisfied with our proposal that such amounts be left to later

negotiations. They asked that the assistance be kept at the fifteenth year level until a new "mutually acceptable" agreement was reached. We objected to this proposal on the grounds that it would empower them to freeze U.S. financial support indefinitely at the fifteenth year level and suggested as a compromise that U.S. financial assistance continue pending a new financial agreement but at reduced rates following the same descending scale that was established for the first fifteen years of the Compact.

I made it clear that this counter-proposal went beyond my instructions and that it was therefore tentative in nature and subject to change. It was agreed to with that understanding. I feel that the compromise is fully consistent with the statement of our primary objective of building a lasting relationship with Micronesia set forth in my instructions, and I recommend that it be approved.

Our success in getting the Co-Chairmen of the JCFS to agree to our financial proposals and to the new termination provision was made possible in large measure, we believe, by their enthusiastic response to our presentation of a new U.S. six year plan for transition covering the period beginning with FY 1975 and ending with FY 1980. This plan was based in part on Secretary Morton's message to the Micronesian people of January 1974 in which he outlined in broad terms his views on the need for an accelerated program to complete the basic physical infrastructure in Micronesia before the end of the Trusteeship.

Our transition plan worked out earlier with the Department of the Interior calls for an increase in funds for CIP during the next four years as suggested in Secretary Morton's statement and then tapers off during the last two years of the Trusteeship. Proposed figures range from \$20 million in FY 1975 to a high of \$35 million in FY 1978 and drop to \$15 million in FY 1980 for six districts. This proposal for an accelerated CIP program during the period of transition is fully consistent with my instructions.

It was also agreed that a determined effort would be made to hold the line and then to begin a reduction in the cost of government operations in Micronesia before the end of the Trusteeship. During the past five years the number of personnel employed by the TTPI Government has increased by 50%, average salaries by 113% and over-all personnel costs by 220%. It was agreed that this trend had to be gradually reversed and that the process should begin during the transition period. Proposed levels for Government operations subsidies went from their present high of \$55 million per year in FY 1975 and 76 to a low of \$45 million in FY 1980 for six districts.

CONFIDENTIAL

-CONFIDENTIAL

It is clear that the Micronesians have linked the transition plan with the terms and the levels of U.S. assistance proposed in the draft compact, and they will certainly make the implementation of the proposed six year transition plan a condition for their of the proposed of the new draft compact. I therefore believe final acceptance of the new draft compact. I therefore believe that this plan should be approved and recommend that I be authorized to commit the United States to providing these amounts in constant dollars contingent during the last four years upon Micronesian approval of the Compact of Free Association.

In summary the Co-Chairmen of the JCFS have now agreed to a complete draft Compact and a tentative timetable for the termination of the Trusteeship. Rather than taking their usual contentious and hard line they were very conciliatory and conceded on one point after another. I am inclined to believe that the main reason for the abrupt "turn around" in their attitude stemmed from a recognition on their part that an early and congenial settlement of the future political status question with the United States was the future political status question with the United States was the only thing that would counter and check growing Micronesial political disunity.

The question now is whether the two Co-Chairmen can win the approval of the full JCFS for the draft compact. They seemed confident on this score. We are not so sanguine since the JCFS in the past has broken ranks and repudiated its leadership and also its own tentative agreements with respect to future political status own tentative agreements with respect to future political status own tentative agreements with respect to future political status own tentative agreements week in Saipan is therefore of the greatest issues. The meeting next week in Saipan is therefore of the greatest importance. If their committee were to reject the draft compact it importance. If their committee were to reject the draft compact it would be a most serious setback for the negotiations and would raise serious questions including whether the U.S. should consider various alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternatives to continuing to negotiations with the Congress of alternative were to reject the draft compact it is negotiated.

I can also report that since my letter of January 25th a series of private talks with the leadership of the Marianas has been successful in laying the groundwork for the next formal round of negotiations with the Marianas Political Status Commission, which is now scheduled to begin on May 15, 1974.

Very respectfully yours,

Franklin Haydn Williams

NSC #21-1

Photocopy from Gerald R. Ford Library

SECT

The state of the s

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

June 7, 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR:

DR. HENRY KISSINGER

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS

SUBJECT:

OMB RECOMMENDATIONS RE MICRONESIAN STATUS NEGOTIATIONS: REQUEST FOR AMENDMENT TO NEGOTIATING INSTRUC-

TIONS

We have reviewed the proposed draft memorandum to the President and generally are encouraged by the progress which it indicates Ambassador Williams is making in bringing these prolonged negotiations to a satisfactory conclusion.

Specifically, we have no objection to the recommended changes in his instructions to:

- 1. Require that any termination of the Compact of Free Association could take place only after successful negotiation of a mutual security agreement covering U.S. defense requirements in Micronesia following termination.
- 2. Provide that, pending any agreement on new levels of U.S. financial assistance, the annual amounts would continue to be provided after the first fifteen years to the post-trusteeship period, but at reduced rates following the same descending scale established for the first fifteen years of the Compact relationship, all subject to approval by Congress.
- 3. Commit the U. S., subject to approval by Congress, to a six-year transition period during which there would be an accelerated Capital Improvements Program (CIP) within overall annual authorizations which would total as follows: FY 1975 (\$75 million); FY 1976 (\$80 million); FY 1977 (\$83 million); FY 1978 (\$85 million); FY 1979 (\$67 million), and FY 1980 (\$60 million). If the \$75 million amount for 1975 is approved by the President, early supporting actions would be to

Authority OMB Builelines, May 3,1979

By HR NLF Date 9/13/99

SERALOS OS SERVINOS OS SERVINO

(1) recommend a draft bill which, if enacted, would increase the present \$60 million 1975 appropriation authorization ceiling to \$75 million, and (2) recommend an increase of \$15 million in the 1975 Budget for the Trust Territory, contingent on enactment of the draft bill.

We do object, however, to the proposal that within the overall total amounts for each year from FY 1975 through FY 1980, the U. S. would commit to fixed annual allocations for CIP and Operation and Maintenance (O&M) without any further review of the practicality of the proposed allocations by both the Micronesians and the U.S. As a maximum, we recommend that the Congress of Micronesia and the U. S. use the proposed annual allocations for CIP and O&M as non-binding guidance, subject to further review in the preparation of annual budgets for the Trust Territory.

In addition, we strongly recommend that the Ambassador's proposal to have the U. S. committed to guaranteeing the negotiated amounts from FY 1975 through at least FY 1995 in constant value U. S. dollars using 1975 as a base year be included as a specific item for decision in the draft memorandum to the President. This concept is not supported by any agreed upon technical definition of how to determine the value of U.S. dollars in Micronesia. Since there is no definition of this concept, we don't know how it could be implemented. Therefore, we recommend that the March 29 instruction for the Ambassador be retained which authorized him to commit the U. S. to periodic reviews of the levels of U. S. financial assistance and during such reviews to give consideration to such adjustments as may be required by changes in the value of the U. S. dollar.

Finally, we recommend that the reference to Defense land requirements be clarified, on page 4 of the draft memorandum to the President, by inserting after the words ". . negotiation of Defense land requirement. . ." the following". . . as identified in annex B, Title III of the Draft Compact of Free Association.

B. A. Bridgewater,

Associate Director